

The global regularity for the 3D continuously stratified inviscid quasi-geostrophic equations

Dongho Chae

Department of Mathematics
Chung-Ang University
Seoul 156-756, Republic of Korea
email: dchae@cau.ac.kr

(to appear in *J. Nonlinear Science*)

Abstract

We prove the global well-posedness of the continuously stratified inviscid quasi-geostrophic equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

AMS Subject Classification Number: 35Q86, 35Q35, 76B03

keywords: stratified quasi-geostrophic equations, global regularity

1 Introduction

Let us consider the continuously stratified quasi-geostrophic equation for the stream function $\psi = \psi(x, y, z, t)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$.

$$q_t + J(\psi, q) + \beta\psi_x = \nu\Delta q + \mathcal{F} \quad (1.1)$$

with $q := \psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} + F^2\psi_{zz}$.

Here, $F = L/L_R$ with L the characteristic horizontal length of the flow and $L_R = \sqrt{gH_0}/f_0$ the Rossby deformation radius, H_0 the typical depth of the fluid layer and f_0 the rotation rate of the fluid. On the other hand, ν is the viscosity, $\mathcal{F}$ is the external force, *which will be set to zero for simplicity*. In the above we used the notation, $J(f, g) = f_x g_y - f_y g_x$. The equation (1.1) is one of the basic equations in the geophysical fluid flows. For a physical meaning of it we mention that it can be derived from the Boussinesq equations(see[9, 6]). In Section 1.6 of [8] one can also see a very nice explanation of (1.1) in relation to the other models of the geophysical flows. *Below we consider the inviscid case $\nu = 0$, and set $\beta = 1$ for convenience.* The case $\nu > 0$ is much easier to prove the global regularity. Below we introduce the notations

$$v := v(x, y, z, t) = (-\psi_y, \psi_x, \psi_z), \quad \bar{v} := (-\psi_y, \psi_x, 0).$$

Rescaling in the z variable as $z \rightarrow F^{-1}z$, we have $q = \Delta\psi$. Then the equation (1.1) in our case can be written as a Cauchy problem,

$$\begin{cases} q_t + (v \cdot \nabla)q = -v_2, \\ q = \Delta\psi, \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Comparing the system with the vorticity formulation of the 2D Euler equations,

$$\omega_t + (\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)\omega = 0, \quad \omega = -(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)\psi, \quad v = (-\psi_y, \psi_x), \quad (1.3)$$

One can observe a similarity with the correspondence $q \leftrightarrow \omega$. We note, however, that there exists an extra term, v_2 coupled, in the first equation of (1.2). Furthermore, more seriously, the relation between ψ and q is given by a full 3D Poisson equation in the second equation of (1.2), while in (1.3) the corresponding one is a 2D equation. As far as the author knows the only mathematical result on the Cauchy problem of (1.2) is the local in time well-posedness due to Bennett and Kloeden([1]). In the viscous case there is a study of the long time behavior of solutions of (1.1) by S. Wang([11]). Actually the authors of [1] considered 3D periodic domain for the result, but since their proof used Kato's particle trajectory method([2]), it is straightforward to extend the result to the case of whole domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (see [7] in the case of 3D Euler equations on $\mathbb{R}^3$). In this paper our aim is to prove the following global regularity of solution to (1.2) for a given smooth initial data.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m > 7/2$, and $v_0 \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be given. Then, for any $T > 0$ there exists unique solution $v \in C([0, T]; H^m(\mathbb{R}^3))$ to the equation (1.2).*

2 Proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The local well posedness of (1.2) for smooth v_0 is proved in [1], and therefore it suffices to prove the global in time *a priori* estimate. Namely, we will show that

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \|v(t)\|_{H^m} \leq C(\|v_0\|_{H^m}, T) < \infty \quad \forall T > 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for all $m > 7/2$. Taking L^2 inner product (1.2) by ψ , and integrating by part, we obtain immediately

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2} = \|v_0\|_{L^2}, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Similarly, taking L^2 inner product (1.2) by $q = \Delta\psi$, and integrating by part, we obtain immediately

$$\|q(t)\|_{L^2} = \|q_0\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Multiplying (1.2) by $q|q|^4$, and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain after integration by part

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6} \frac{d}{dt} \|q(t)\|_{L^6}^6 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_2| |q|^5 dx \leq \|v_2\|_{L^6} \|q\|_{L^6}^5 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla v_2\|_{L^2} \|q\|_{L^6}^5 \leq C \|q\|_{L^2} \|q\|_{L^6}^5 \\ &= C \|q_0\|_{L^2} \|q\|_{L^6}^5, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where we used the Sobolev inequality, $\|f\|_{L^6} \leq C\|\nabla f\|_{L^2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and the Calderon-Zygmund estimate(see [10]),

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|q\|_{L^p}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4) we obtain

$$\|q(t)\|_{L^6} \leq \|q_0\|_{L^6} + Ct\|q_0\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and the Calderon-Zygmund inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\|Dv\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C\|q\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C\|q\|_{L^6} + C\|v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\|q_0\|_{L^6} + t\|q_0\|_{L^2}) + C\|v_0\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We introduce the particle trajectory $\{X(a, t)\}$ on the plane generated by $\tilde{v} := (v_1, v_2)$,

$$\frac{\partial X(a, t)}{\partial t} = \tilde{v}(X(a, t), t), \quad X(a, 0) = a \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

We write (1.2) in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} q(X(a, t), z, t) = -v_2(X(a, t), z, t),$$

which can be integrated in time as

$$q(X(a, t), z, t) = q_0(a, z) - \int_0^t v_2(X(a, s), z, s) ds.$$

Thus, we have

$$\|q(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|q_0\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds \leq C(1 + t^2), \quad (2.8)$$

where $C = C(\|q_0\|_{L^6}, \|q_0\|_{L^2}, \|v_0\|_{L^2})$. Combining (2.3) and (2.8), using the standard L^p interpolation, one has

$$\|q(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C_1(1 + t^2), \quad \forall p \in [2, \infty] \quad (2.9)$$

where $C_1 = C_1(\|q_0\|_{L^6}, \|q_0\|_{L^2}, \|v_0\|_{L^2})$. Taking $D = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$ on (1.2), one has

$$Dq_t + (D\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla)q + (\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla)Dq = -Dv_2.$$

Let $p \geq 2$. Multiplying this equation by $Dq|Dq|^{p-2}$ and integrating it over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have after integration by part, and using the Hölder inequality and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|Dq(t)\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \|Dv\|_{L^\infty} \|Dq\|_{L^p}^p + \|Dv_2\|_{L^p} \|Dq\|_{L^p}^{p-1} \\ &\leq \|Dv\|_{L^\infty} \|Dq\|_{L^p}^p + C\|q\|_{L^p} \|Dq\|_{L^p}^{p-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

from which we obtain, for $p > 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|Dq(t)\|_{L^p} &\leq \|Dv\|_{L^\infty} \|Dq\|_{L^p} + C\|q\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C\{1 + \|Dv\|_{BMO} \log(e + \|D^2v\|_{L^p})\} \|Dq\|_{L^p} + C\|q\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C\{1 + \|q\|_{BMO} \log(e + \|Dq\|_{L^p})\} \|Dq\|_{L^p} + C\|q\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C\{1 + \|q\|_{L^\infty} \log(e + \|Dq\|_{L^p})\} \|Dq\|_{L^p} + C\|q\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C(1 + \|q\|_{L^\infty} + \|q\|_{L^p})(e + \|Dq\|_{L^p}) \log(e + \|Dq\|_{L^p}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where we used the logarithmic Sobolev inequality,

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\{1 + \|f\|_{BMO} \log(e + \|Df\|_{W^{k,p}})\}, \quad kp > 3 \tag{2.12}$$

proved in [5], and the Calderon-Zygmund inequality. By Gronwall's inequality, we obtain from (2.11) that

$$e + \|Dq(t)\|_{L^p} \leq (e + \|Dq_0\|_{L^p})^{\exp\{C \int_0^t (1 + \|q(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|q(s)\|_{L^p}) ds\}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Taking into account (2.9) and (2.8), we find from (2.13) that

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \|Dq(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(v_0, T) < \infty \quad \forall T > 0, \quad \forall p \in (3, \infty). \tag{2.14}$$

Combining this with the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0 < t < T} \|Dv\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \sup_{0 < t < T} \|D^2v\|_{L^4} \leq C \sup_{0 < t < T} \|Dq\|_{L^4} \\
&\leq C(\|v_0\|_{W^{2,4}}, T) < \infty \quad \forall T > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

For $p \in [2, 3]$, one has from (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|Dq(t)\|_{L^p} &\leq \|Dv\|_{L^\infty} \|Dq\|_{L^p} + C\|q\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq (\|Dv\|_{L^\infty} + \|q\|_{L^p} + 1)(\|Dq\|_{L^p} + 1),
\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$\|Dq(t)\|_{L^p} + 1 \leq (\|Dq_0\|_{L^p} + 1) \exp \left\{ C \int_0^t (\|Dv(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|q(s)\|_{L^p} + 1) ds \right\}. \tag{2.16}$$

Hence, the estimates (2.9) and (2.15) imply that

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \|Dq(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|v_0\|_{W^{2,p}}, T) < \infty \quad \forall T > 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Taking D^2 on (1.1), we have

$$D^2q_t + (D^2\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)q + 2(D\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)Dq + (v \cdot \nabla)D^2q = -D^2v_2.$$

Multiplying this by $D^2q|D^2q|$ and integrating it over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have after integration by part, and using the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dt} \|D^2q(t)\|_{L^3}^3 &\leq \|D^2v \cdot Dq\|_{L^3} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^2 + 2\|Dv\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^3 \\
&\quad + \|D^2v_2\|_{L^3} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\leq C(\|D^2v\|_{BMO} \|Dq\|_{L^3} + \|D^2v\|_{L^3} \|Dq\|_{BMO}) \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\quad + 2\|Dv\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^3 + \|D^2v_2\|_{L^3} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\leq C\|Dq\|_{BMO} \|Dq\|_{L^3} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\quad + 2\|Dv\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^3 + \|Dq\|_{L^3} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\leq C\|Dq\|_{L^3} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^3 + 2\|Dv\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^3 \\
&\quad + \|Dq\|_{L^3} \|D^2q\|_{L^3}^2, \tag{2.18}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the following bilinear estimate, proved in [4],

$$\|f \cdot g\|_{L^p} \leq C_p(\|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{BMO} + \|f\|_{BMO} \|g\|_{L^p}), \quad p \in (1, \infty)$$

and also the critical Sobolev inequality in $\mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\|f\|_{BMO} \leq C\|\nabla f\|_{L^3}.$$

From (2.18) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|D^2q(t)\|_{L^3} &\leq C(\|Dq\|_{L^3} + \|Dv\|_{L^\infty}) \|D^2q\|_{L^3} + \|Dq\|_{L^3} \\
&\leq C(\|Dq\|_{L^3} + \|Dv\|_{L^\infty} + 1)(\|D^2q\|_{L^3} + 1), \tag{2.19}
\end{aligned}$$

from which one has

$$\|D^2q(t)\|_{L^3} + 1 \leq (\|D^2q_0\|_{L^3} + 1) \exp \left\{ C \int_0^t (\|Dq(s)\|_{L^3} + \|Dv(s)\|_{L^\infty} + 1) ds \right\}. \tag{2.20}$$

Combining this with (2.17) and (2.15), we have

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} \|Dq(t)\|_{BMO} \leq C \sup_{0 < t < T} \|D^2q(t)\|_{L^3} \leq C(\|v_0\|_{W^{3,3}}, T) < \infty \quad \forall T > 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^3$ be a multi-index. Let $m > 7/2$. Taking D^α on (1.2), and multiplying it by $D^\alpha q$, summing over $|\alpha| \leq m-1$, and integrating it over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|q(t)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} (D^\alpha(\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)q, D^\alpha q)_{L^2} - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} (D^\alpha v_2, D^\alpha q)_{L^2} \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (D^\alpha(\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)q - (\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)D^\alpha q, D^\alpha q)_{L^2} - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} (D^\alpha v_2, D^\alpha q)_{L^2} \\
&\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \|D^\alpha(\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)q - (\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)D^\alpha q\|_{L^2} \|q\|_{H^{m-1}} + \|v\|_{H^{m-1}} \|q\|_{H^{m-1}} \\
&\leq C(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla q\|_{L^\infty})(\|q\|_{H^{m-1}} + \|v\|_{H^{m-1}})\|q\|_{H^{m-1}} + \|v\|_{H^{m-1}} \|q\|_{H^{m-1}}, \tag{2.22}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the following commutator estimate,

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha(fg) - fD^\alpha g\|_{L^2} \leq C_m(\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \|D^{m-1}g\|_{L^2} + \|D^m f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^\infty}),$$

proved in [3]. We observe the following norm equivalence: there exists a constant K independent of v, q such that

$$K^{-1}(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|q\|_{H^{m-1}}^2) \leq \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \leq K(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|q\|_{H^{m-1}}^2),$$

which is an immediate consequence of (2.5). Since $\|v(t)\|_{L^2} = \|v_0\|_{L^2}$ as in (2.2), one can add $\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2$ to $\|q\|_{H^{m-1}}^2$ in the left hand side of (2.22) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}Y(t) &\leq C(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla q\|_{L^\infty} + 1)Y(t) \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla v\|_{BMO} + \|\nabla q\|_{BMO} + 1)Y(t) \log Y(t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where we set

$$Y(t) := e + \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|q(t)\|_{H^m}^2,$$

and used the logarithmic Sobolev inequality in the form (2.12) for $m > 7/2$. By Gronwall's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} e + \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 &\leq e + C(\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|q(t)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2) \\ &\leq e + C(\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|q_0\|_{H^{m-1}}^2) \exp\left\{C \int_0^t (\|\nabla v(s)\|_{BMO} + \|\nabla q(s)\|_{BMO} + 1) ds\right\} \\ &\leq e + (C\|v_0\|_{H^m}^2) \exp\left\{C \int_0^t (\|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla q(s)\|_{BMO} + 1) ds\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

The estimates (2.15) and (2.21), combined with (2.24), provides us with (2.1). $\square$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank deeply to Prof. Shouhong Wang for suggesting the problem and helpful discussions. This research is supported partially by NRF Grants no.2006-0093854 and no.2009-0083521.

References

- [1] A. F. Bennett and P. E. Kloeden. *The periodic quasigeostrophic equations: existence and uniqueness of strong solutions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **91A**, (1982), pp. 185-203.
- [2] T. Kato, *On classical solutions of the two-dimensional non-stationary Euler equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **25**, (1967), pp. 188-200.
- [3] S. Klainerman and A. Majda, *Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems with large parameters and the incompressible limit of compressible fluids*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math, **34**, (1981), pp. 481-524.

- [4] H. Kozono and Y. Taniuchi, *The Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Math. Z., **235**(1), (2000), pp. 173-194.
- [5] H. Kozono and Y. Taniuchi, *Limiting case of the Sobolev inequality in BMO with application to the Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **214** (1), (2000), pp. 191-200.
- [6] A. Majda, *Introduction to P.D.E's and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean*, Courant Institute Lecture Note Series, **9**, Amer. Math. Soc..
- [7] A. Majda and A. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge University Press, (2002), Cambridge.
- [8] A. Majda and X. Wang, *Nonlinear Dynamics and Statistical Theories for Basic Geophysical Flows*, Cambridge University Press, (2006), Cambridge.
- [9] J. Pedlosky, *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, 2nd Edition, Springer, (1987), New York.
- [10] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, (1970), Princeton, NJ.
- [11] S. Wang, *Attractors for the 3D baroclinic quasi-geostrophic equations of large-scale atmosphere*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **165**, no. 1, (1992), pp. 266-283,